# SECRET Security Information

#### THE COMMITTEE PEACE OFFINE WE

- 1. Evidence of the past several weeks, and particularly of the past several days, would indicate that the international Communist tactic for some time to come will be to place great stress on a world-wide "peace" campaign. The purpose for such campaign is painfully obvious: by this method the Communists hope to crack the wall of resistance which the West has been constructing, and to bring about an eventual slowing-down in the armaments program of the Free World.
- 2. If the Communists play their eards right for a period of several years, there seems to be little question but what they will be able to meet with considerable success in accomplishing their aim. If an armistice is concluded in Korea; if a Big-Powers meeting takes place and is carried out somewhat amicably; if there is a gradual growth of trade between the Communist World and the West; and if the new Soviet Government, probably joined by the Chinese Communist Covernment, adopts a generally conciliatory attitude on the world seems - all of which appear likely to happen - the Western leadership will find it increasingly difficult to keep its ermanents program at the present high pitch. Public opinion in itself - first in Surope and Asia, later in the United States - vill simply not permit the continued expenditure of tens of billions of dollars for a military program in the light of a protracted Communist peace campaign along these lines. Though the United States Government would probably be able to sustain such a progrem longer than could the Auropean powers, the interim result would be a crumbling of the Western alliance structure. The world-wide hope for peace is certain to far overchadow the fears of long-range Communist designs. The end result, of course, over the period of a decade or more would be an again defenseless West, disunited, and faced with an increasingly elese-knit Communist world with a firm ability to strike when the time is ripo.
- 3. We must, therefore, recognise that at best given a full-scale Soviet peace offensive the Vestern expensent program will suffer. Obviously, then, the present need is the drafting now of a program which can, in part, fill the gap resulting from the lessening of our defensive position vis-a-vis the Communist world; i.e., we must formulate a program which can serve as a supplement to the present defensive armaments and psychological warfare programs. At the same time, such new program must be so designed as to help to sustain for a longer period than would be the case under the present programs, at least the alliance system, if not the defense preparations, of the Prec World. Finally, such new program must be so designed as to be able to take advantage of whatever alight opportunity there may be for diverting a temporary Communist tactic into a long-term Communist policy.
- 4. It will, of course, be up to specialists of various types to work out the details of the new program to combat the Communist peace offensive. In broad terms, however, the new program might best take the following forms:

At the first

### SEGRET

At the first first conciliatory sign from the Soviets or the Chinese Communists, the United States should take the leadership in the West in appearing to velcome these Commist overtures. Our immediate reply should be in the form of strong and forceful overtures of our own making. An example of such a tactic on our part would be that immedistely following a meeting of the Big Powers, the United States Government should make a public declaration - giving all mossible press play to the declaration - in which we invite a group of Foviet leaders to the United States, or perhaps on a tour of a manber of Western countries. An alternative proposal would be to invite an exchange of delegations - businessmen, students, newspapermen, etc. - between the United States and the Soviet Union. All initial proposels, to be effective must emanate from the highest possible levels, e.g., Prosident Elsenhouser or Henry Cabot Lodge. The language used in the announcements must be sufficiently impressive and exciting as to capture the imagination of the moss.

If the Soviet Union accepts such proposals, nothing will be essentially lost. Indeed, we will have won a major battle in cracking the Iron Curtain. We should then make it a prime objective to see that whatever delegation occas here is given as friendly a treatment as possible. It is simply not conceivable that if a host of delegations begins moving out of the Soviet Union to the West and is accorded such friendly treatment that all members of such delegations could long continue to "hate America."

Delegations on top official levels should be quickly followed upon by "mofficial" delegations — i.e., the town council of a cotton-weaving or steel town in the United States must invite here a delegation of leaders of a cotton-weaving or steel town in the Soviet Union, with the hope of receiving a reciprocal invitation from the Russians.

The key to this approach must, in every case, he the utilization of the widest degree of publicity to each invitation or proposal. Effective follow-ups must be planned in advance to sustain the publicity for a period of days. Such publicity would be targeted in several directions. In the first place, it would be designed to show the Soviet people our desire to be friends with them. In the second place, it would be targeted at the nations (e.g., Asian bloc) which are neither Soviet nor Vestern, in an effort to demonstrate our good intentions for peace. Finally, it would serve to prepare the American public for a desent reception for whatever Soviets might come here.

Suppose, on the cher hand, that the Soviets refuse to send or accept delegations as proposed by us. If the publicity attending our initial proposeds has been well designed and well-coordinated, then the Soviet refusel will be patent proof to the nations now in the middle of the power struggle — and to our Allies as well — that the Soviet peace campaign is not genuine, and to that degree will help to maintain (and parhaps even expans) the Western alliance system. One refusal tree the Soviets should simply be a signal for us to make another

Approved For Release 2002/07/28 0014-RDP80-01065A000100030066-21

#### SECRET

proposal -- again giving the new proposal full publicity. Each ouccessive Soviet refusal would only serve to reinforce beliefs throughout the Free World that the Soviets were insincere, thus giving a truly effective weapon against the Soviet peace offensive.

This type of campaign would have it all over the present Western inclination to ensure Foviet overtures with a statement such as, "We are interested in seeing what they have to offer in a concrete form." Here, we would be on the offensive. We would be making a proposal which would make sense to all non-Communist peoples. The Communists would thus be placed squarely before the bar of public opinion, not just once, but again and again.

- Perhaps following an armistice in Korea the first delegation could be invited from China. (Here, however, the question of U.S. diplomatic recognition of the CFR would pose a dilemma.) Once again, to the degree that Chinase Communists can participate in tours arranged by the United States Government, and to the degree that Americans can enter and travel about and talk with Chinase citizens, to that same degree will the chance of the Chinase Communist leaders taking their people into aggressive war be diminished. Conversely, each refusal upon the part of the Chinase Communists to accept an American proposal provided that proposal is given sufficient publicity would redound to our favor throughout Asia, demonstrating, beyond doubt, that the Chinase Communist intentions are not pecceful.
- hasten, rather than alow down, the deterioration of the Western alliance system, on the grounds that each time the Communists escepted an American proposal, they would be reinforcing in the public mind their peaceful intentions. Against this argument must be weighed several factors: (1) that by accepting such proposals the Communists are opening their citizens to Western pressures from at least two directions those which result from Soviet citizens traveling in the United States, and those which result from American citizens traveling in the Soviet Union. Soviet aggressive properations would therefore also be forced to suffer. (2) That in some instances at least the Soviets may not feel that they can accept some of our offers. Each time a refusal occurs, publicity exploitation could cause a propaganta defeat for the Russians, depecially in areasithere; the Soviet "Hate America" campaign is effective. (3) Finally, that in the absence of any new program such as this, our attempts to hold the Western alliance together will be extremely difficult at best. Through the new program we at least have an apportunity for counterattack and offensive action of our own.
- 7. Certainly the above disquesion does not cover all aspects of the psychological warfars program which is indicated for dealing with the new Communist textic, and it is not meant necessarily to supplies other programs

which may

## SEGRET

which may be in being or in planning. And certainly, no attempt has been made in this discussion to deal with many of the legitimate problems which arise in the implementation of such a program. It is the belief of the writers, however, that if the basic new program itself is found to have merit, the problems and difficulties can be overcome by the appropriate specialists.

The primary point to be considered is that in the waging of a peace campaign the Communists can be made to be just as vulnerable to a weakening influence as we would be, and that the means for combatting and defeating the intent of such an offensive are inherent in the nature of the offensive itself.